Franchise Tax Board

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author:	Ridley-Thomas	Analyst:	Rachel Coco		Bill Number: AB	3 168
Related Bill	s: See Prior Analysis	_ Telephone:	845-4328 Am	nended Date:	March 10, 2005	
		Attorney:	Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:		
SUBJECT: California Tax Expenditure Accountability Act/Tax Expenditure Reports						
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.						
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.						
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO						
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED January 19, 2005, STILL APPLIES.						
X OTHER – See comments below.						
SUMMARY						
This bill would require several state agencies to provide reports on tax expenditures.						
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS						
The March 11, 2005, amendments removed language that would have required the Department of Finance (DOF) to provide a report to the legislature on tax expenditures. The amendments added language that would require several departments to provide tax expenditure reports to the legislature, the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) and DOF.						
As a result of the amendments, the "This Bill" discussion contained in the prior analysis has been revised. The remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as introduced January 19, 2005, still applies.						
POSITION						
Pending.						
Board Posi				Department	Director	Date
	_		NP NAR	Brian Putler		3/14/05
	OUA	>	C PENDING	Dilaii Fullei		J/ 1 -1 /UJ

Assembly Bill 168 (Ridley-Thomas) Amended March 10, 2005 Page 2

THIS BILL

This bill would repeal an existing provision of law that requires DOF to provide an annual report to the Legislature on tax expenditures.

This bill would require the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) and the Board of Equalization (BOE) to provide reports on tax expenditures to LAO, DOF, and the Legislature. The reports would be based on static revenue analysis and would address tax expenditures for the taxes administered by each department respectively. The reports would include estimated revenue losses attributable to each tax expenditure that had a revenue loss in excess of \$10 million in the prior fiscal year. The reports would be required from each department on or before November 15, 2006, and on or before November 15th every year thereafter.

This bill also would require DOF to provide a report on tax expenditures to LAO and the Legislature. The report would be based on a dynamic revenue analysis and include a comparison of the reports submitted by FTB and BOE. The report would be required on or before February 1, 2007, and on or before January 15th every year thereafter.

This bill would require LAO, on or before March 1, 2007, and on or before every March 1st thereafter, to review the tax expenditure reports provided by FTB, BOE, and DOF and make recommendations to the legislature regarding which tax expenditures should be modified or repealed.

This bill would define "tax expenditures" as a credit, deduction, exclusion, exemption, or any other tax benefit as provided for by state law.

This bill would define "static revenue analysis" as the historical method used by BOE and FTB for evaluating tax expenditures that takes into account only the most direct economic responses to tax expenditures.

This bill would define "dynamic revenue analysis" as the historical method used by DOF for evaluating tax expenditures based on assumptions that estimate the probable behavioral responses of taxpayers, businesses, and other citizens to those tax expenditures, and that includes a statement identifying those assumptions.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Rachel Coco Franchise Tax Board (916) 845-4328 rachel.coco@ftb.ca.gov Brian Putler Franchise Tax Board (916) 845-6333 brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov